SITE DETAILS		
Site Reference Number:	SHLAA/004	
Site Name:	Land at Cockering Rd Canterbury (between Highbury Vale and Cockering Farm)	
Location/Address	Cockering Road Canterbury	
Greenfield/PDL/Mixed:	Greenfield	
Proposed Use:	Housing	
Site Area:	0.4 ha	

Site Plan



Aerial View



Photograph 1: Cockering Road Boundary



Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment Site Assessment Form

Description of Site:	Site sloping up from the road to near ridge – lots of succession vegetation, including scattered trees.
Current Use:	Open land at fringe of agricultural land.
Surrounding Uses:	Residential in part; agricultural land to south.
Character of Surrounding Area:	Suburban residential area at the urban fringe. Largely quiet rural area surrounds. AHLV – open fields with some boundary vegetation.
Planning History: e.g. Housing Allocation or Planning Permission? Previous site proposal at LPI	Previous planning application for 8 dwellings (ref 00/0237) – Refused, no appeal submitted. Reasons for refusal: (1) The proposal would represent an intrusion of development into the open country on the edge of Canterbury, outside of the urban boundary as identified on the Canterbury District Local Plan Proposals Map. The proposal is therefore contrary to Policy R23 of the Local Plan. (2) The proposed development is contrary to Policy RS5 of the 1996 adopted Kent Structure Plan which provides that development will not be permitted in rural Kent other than at the small rural towns and villages except in certain specified circumstances. In the opinion of the local planning authority, there are no such circumstances which justify the granting of permission in this case. (3) The proposed development is within an Area of High Landscape Value. The proposed development would cause unacceptable harm to the landscape character of the site and is contrary to the Council's adopted policy of protecting such designated areas from proposals that would cause unacceptable harm. Adjoins 2 other SHLAA submission sites.
Potential site Capacity: as proposed	10
Calculated by CCC at 35 units / ha	0.42 ha – approx 15 units, but may be less to reflect existing character of area

STAGE 1 ASSESSMENT: POLICY CONSTRAINTS		
A - Is the site within any of the following Areas?		
Category 1: National and Regional		
SPA, Ramsar, SAC, SSSI, National Nature Reserve, AONB, Ancient Woodlands.	No	
Category 2: Local		
Special Landscape Are	No – However, the site is within the Area Of High Landscape Value.	
B - Is the site currently in use or allocated for employment or other use and remains suitable and required for that use or is protected by a current development plan policy from development for other uses?	No	
C - Is the site in or adjacent to a settlement?	Yes	
D - Does the site fall within or adjacent to a settlement which has not been identified in a development plan document as a settlement/settlements suitable for future housing development with sufficient capacity to meet future housing requirements?	No Adjacent to Thanington but outside the urban boundary of Canterbury.	
CONCLUSION:		
Site not suitable for housing at this stage existing policy objections		
Site may be suitable - continue to Stage 2	Carry forward for further assessment as site is adjacent to Urban area and falls within one of the option under consideration.	

STAGE 2 ASSESSMENT: SUITABILITY

A. Is the site allocated for housing in an existing development plan or does it have planning permission for housing?

If yes, the site will be suitable unless circumstances have changed to render it unsuitable.

If no, the site should be assessed against the questions set out in B to E as follows.

B. Is the site in a suitable location when measured against the following criteria?

- Within 800m walking distance of a bus stop or railway station providing two or more services per hour.
- Within 800 m walking distance of a convenience store, a primary school and a GP surgery.
- Within 30 minutes public transport time of a hospital/health centre, secondary school, employment area, town or district centre.

No

Site is within the 800m walking distance of bus stop providing two or more services per hour, but not railway station.

Yes – convenience store. No – primary school, GP surgery.

Within 30 minutes of health centre, secondary school, employment centre and City centre.

TECHNICAL CONSULTATION

- C. Does the site have any of the following physical or infrastructure constraints?
- Access

Highway capacity

Infrastructure -Water Supply

Sewerage/Drainage Electricity supply Gas Supply

Remote from amenities.

Adequate capacity at Cockering Road.

Unknown at this stage – but services will be available in the adjacent residential area.

STAGE 2 ASSESSMENT: SUITABILITY (Cont.)		
Electricity Pylons	None close to site.	
Contamination/Pollution	None known	
Adverse Ground Conditions	N/A	
Hazardous Risk	No	
 Topography 	N/A	
Flood Zone	No	
Other e.g. Archaeology, Conservation area, AHLV	Archaeological evaluation is required AHLV, but not in prominent or sensitive location. Agricultural Land Classification: Grade 3	
If yes, how and when can the constraint be overcome?	No major constraints, but site may need to be checked for protected species prior to detailed proposals being prepared.	
 D. Would development have a detrimental impact on the following, either within or adjacent to the site or in its vicinity? Townscape Landscape 	Small site unlikely to have significant impacts on townscape or wider landscape.	
 Trees Conservation Areas Historic Parks and Gardens Listed Buildings Scheduled Ancient Monuments 	Succession vegetation, including scattered trees.	
 Sites of Nature Conservation Interest/Protected Species If yes, could the impact be mitigated through the design process, the imposition of a condition or a legally binding agreement? 	Further to the west is Larkey Valley Wood SSSI, but unlikely to be directly affected by this proposal. Potential for protected species to be present, scoping surveys may be required.	
E. Would the amenity of residents be adversely affected by any external, environmental factors? If yes, could the impact be mitigated to such an extent that the residents' living conditions would be acceptable?	No	